

National Electric Power Regulatory Authority Islamic Republic of Pakistan

NEPRA Tower, Ataturk Avenue (East) G-5/1, Islamabad Ph: +92-51-9206500, Fax: +92-51-2600021 Web: www.nepra.org.pk, E-mail: info@nepra.org.pk

No. NEPRA/TRF-234/GEPCO-2013/6298-6300 June 16, 2014

Subject: Decision of the Authority in the matter of Motion for Leave for Review filed by Gujranwala Electric Power Company Ltd. (GEPCO) against Tariff Determination of the Authority dated January 16, 2014 [Case # NEPRA/TRF-234/GEPCO-2013]

Dear Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No. NEPRA/TRF-234/GEPCO-2013/675-677 dated January 16, 2014 whereby Determination of the Authority in the matter of petition filed by GEPCO for Determination of its consumer-end tariff pertaining to FY 2013-14 was sent to the Federal Government for notification in the official Gazette.

- 2. Please find enclosed herewith the decision of the Authority along with Annex-II & III (13 pages) in the matter of Motion for Leave for Review filed by Gujranwala Electric Power Company Ltd. against NEPRA's determination dated 16.01.2014 in Case No. NEPRA/TRF-234/GEPCO-2013.
- 3. The Decision of the Authority is being intimated to the Federal Government for the purpose of notification in the official Gazette pursuant to Section 31(4) of the Regulation of Generation, Transmission and Distribution of Electric Power Act (XL of 1997) read with Rule 16(11) of the National Electric Power Regulatory Authority Tariff (Standards and Procedure) Rules, 1998.
- 4. Please be informed that the Schedule of Electricity Tariffs (SOT), earlier intimated vide original Determination dated 16.01.2014 has been revised and attached as Annex-III of this decision, which will supersede the earlier SOT attached with the original determination dated 16.01.2014 as Annex-III. The order of the Authority along with Annex-III attached to this decision needs to be notified in the official Gazette.

Enclosure: As above

(Syed Safeer Hussain)

Secretary
Ministry of Water & Power
'A' Block, Pak Secretariat
Islamabad

CC:

- 1. Secretary, Cabinet Division, Cabinet Secretariat, Islamabad.
- 2. Secretary, Ministry of Finance, 'Q' Block, Pak Secretariat, Islamabad.



National Electric Power Regulatory Authority Islamic Republic of Pakistan

NEPRA Tower, Ataturk Avenue (East) G-5/1, Islamabad Ph: +92-51-9206500, Fax: +92-51-2600021 Web: www.nepra.org.pk, E-mail: info@nepra.org.pk

No. NEPRA/R/TRF-234/GEPCO-2013/6301-6302

June 16, 2014

- Chief Executive Officer,
 Gujranwala Electric Power Company Ltd. (GEPCO)
 565/A, Model Town
 G.T. Road
 Gujranwala
- Secretary
 All Pakistan Textile Mills Association 97-A, Aziz Avenue,
 Canal Bank Off Gulberg Road,
 Lahore

Subject: Decision of the Authority in the matter of Motion for Leave for Review filed by Gujranwala Electric Power Company Ltd. (GEPCO) against Tariff Determination of the Authority dated January 16, 2014 [Case # NEPRA/TRF-234/GEPCO-2013]

The Authority has made its Decision on the subject matter. The Decision has been sent to Ministry of Water & Power for notification in the official Gazette vide letter No. NEPRA/TRF-234/GEPCO-2013/6298-6300 dated 16.06.2014. A copy of the Decision is served herewith.

Enclosure: As above

(Syed Safeer Hussain)



DECISION OF THE AUTHORITY IN THE MATTER OF MOTION FOR LEAVE FOR REVIEW FILED BY GUJRANWALA ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY (GEPCO) AGAINST TARIFF DETERMINATION OF THE AUTHORITY DATED JANUARY 16, 2014

1. Background

- 1.1 Gujranwala Electric Power Company Limited (GEPCO), hereinafter called "the Petitioner", being a Distribution Licensee of NEPRA filed a motion for leave for review against the Authority's decision dated January 16, 2014. The Motion for review was filed to the extent of the following issues;
 - Transmission & Distribution (T&D) Losses
 - Salaries, Wages & Benefits
 - Prior Year Adjustment (PYA)
 - Other Issues

2. Proceedings

2.1 The Petitioner and the concerned parties were given a chance of hearing on 26th February 2014. Notices of the hearing communicating the venue and date were also sent to the concerned parties. During the hearing, the Petitioner was represented by its Chief Executive Officer along with its financial and technical team.

2.2 Transmission and Distribution (T&D) Losses

The Petitioner in its Review Motion pleaded that the actual T&D losses for the previous three years were 11.97%, 11.22% and 10.75% for the FY 2010-11, 2011-12 and 2012-13, respectively. During the hearing, the Petitioner had requested that instead of setting the T&D losses in an arbitrary manner, the same should be based on technical data/information. In this regard, the Petitioner also provided a study by M/s. Power Planner International of one of its divisions, which showed that even in 2010-11, the STG losses (forming part of the T&D losses) came to 2.06%, which the Authority has been arbitrarily setting at 1%. The Petitioner had submitted the said study to the Authority to provide its input so that similar studies could be carried out for the remaining divisions, however the Authority neither appreciated this nor considered the same while deciding the determination under question. It was also demonstrated with facts and figures during the hearing of tariff petition for 2012-13 as well as with load flow study that STG loss of the Petitioner remained 1.82% to 2%. However, in the Determination, the Authority held that the data of one division cannot be made basis for determination of the Petitioner's total T&D losses.

2.3 It was further stated that the Company has no private consumer at 132 KV. Hence the transmission loss is purely technical, having no theft. Resultantly, in order to meet the





determined T&D losses of 9.48%, the Petitioner would have to meet such reduction only on the distribution side. where there is no room for further reduction. Even as per record, the maximum reduction achieved by the Petitioner over the period of three years had been only 1.22%.

- 2.4 The Authority after careful consideration of the Petitioner's arguments is of the view that the assessment of the Authority with respect to T&D losses in the matter of Petitioner has been based on the Petitioner's own provided information of Technical losses and Administrative losses. As mentioned in para 15.4 of the Authority's determination dated January 16, 2014 that Petitioner's Administrative Losses ranges between 1 to 2%. The same was acceded by the Petitioner during the hearing for the FY 2011-12. The Authority while assessing the target for the FY 2013-14 was constrained to use the estimates of the Petitioner with respect to Technical and Administrative losses as the provided study by the Petitioner's was not complete. The Petitioner's arguments of separately presenting 132 KV losses do not substantiate its request as the Authority determines an overall losses target for the Petitioner. The figure of 9.48% was arrived at by deducting the reported Administrative losses from the actual results of the Petitioner pertaining to the FY 2012-13.
- 2.5 Nevertheless for the purpose of fairness, the Authority again conducted an in-house study of Petitioners T&D losses. The study is based on (a) benchmarking (i) transmission losses (ii) Distribution transformer (iii) LT lines and (b) calculating 11KV feeder losses proportional to peak demand. The calculation based on the above parameters shows the Petitioner's losses at a level of 9.98%. The study also considers the parameter of actual demands of DISCOs. Based on the report, the target losses of GEPCO works out to be as 9.98%.
- 2.6 In view of the aforementioned, the Authority has decided to reassess the target of the Petitioner as 9.98% based on the report of Technical Division of NEPRA. Simultaneously, the Petitioner is directed to expedite the independent study of its system including 11 KV and below. The Authority may review its decision with respect to the assessment of its T&D losses in the finding of the independent report on prospective basis.
- 3. <u>Distribution Margin (O&M Portion):</u>
- 3.1 Salaries, Wages and Benefits.
- 3.2 The Petitioner submitted that with respect to this head of revenue requirement, the Authority was provided the actual expenses on account of salary, wages and other benefits amounting to Rs. 4,783 Million (excluding the provision for long-term retirement benefits but including the actual payments on account of long-term





retirement benefits) for the FY 2012-13, as mentioned in paragraph 7.1 and paragraph 17.3.4 of the Determination. For the current year, the Petitioner submitted the salary, wages and benefits cost for Rs.5,575 million, which included increase of 10% in basic salary, annual increment and increase of 10% in pension cost. Further, the Petitioner submitted an additional cost of new recruitments envisaged by it, which was included in the overall employee cost of Rs.13 million per month. The Petitioner submitted the following contentions in this regard;

- The amount of Rs.4,783 million for 2012-13 as per actual accounts of the Petitioner were accepted by the Authority. The Petitioner, on the basis of the said accounts, asked for a total increment of Rs.728 million to reach the proposed amount of Rs.5,575 million. The Authority states in paragraph 17.3.6 that the increments to the extent of increase in salary and annual increment is justified, however it did not allow additional cost of recruitment thereafter, without any basis and against its own findings as detailed below, the Authority assessed an amount of Rs.4,447 million for salary, wages and benefits, which is even lower than the accepted and recorded amount for the previous year of Rs.4,783 million. It is submitted that even if started from the base figure of Rs.4,055 million (actual expenses excluding provision for long term retirement provision as mentioned in paragraph 17.3.4), firstly an increment of 10% in basic pay (detail in paragraph 17.3.4) should have been added and secondly, the annual increment (detail in paragraph 17.3.4) should have been added. Thereafter, the remaining amounts which have been disallowed should also be included on the basis given below. therefore, the finding of the Authority is against the facts and figures, and is therefore liable to be reviewed.
- In paragraph 7.2 of the Determination, it is stated by the Authority with respect to retirement benefits that "the petitioner will be allowed retirement benefits to the extent of actual amount paid during last year." Therefore, the Authority has specifically allowed the Petitioner's claim to the extent of actual amount paid during the last year. This amount is Rs.728 million (actual), as provided in paragraph 17.3.4. However, the said amount has been excluded entirely by the Authority. This is stark contradiction of its own findings, and hence is liable to be reviewed.
- In paragraph 9.3 of the Determination, the Authority has stated that "till the final decision in the matter, the amount to be paid to the pensioners of WAPDA by the Petitioner is be allowed to the petitioner subject to adjustment in the light of final decision of the Authority." Again, despite the same, the Authority has excluded this from its final assessment. This amount comes to Rs.22 million per month, that is, Rs. 264 million. From the above, it is evident that the assessment of the Authority is erroneous, and is therefore liable to be reviewed.





- The Authority further rejected the claim of the Petitioner with respect to new recruitments, on the basis that during the previous year, the Petitioner had hired only 92 employees whereas 323 employees were retired hence there was no need of new recruitments. It is submitted that the said stance is incorrect. The Authority was informed that as stated in the Recruitment Plan in its Petition, details were provided to the Authority of the planned recruitment. The obstacles in this regard were also highlighted, and the Authority was also provided letter of the Ministry of W&P dated 10.04.2012, wherein the Ministry had approved very low hiring and asked the Petitioner to take up the matter with the Authority. However, the Authority has not responded to this in any manner. On the one hand, the Petitioner is accused of inefficiency, whereas on the other it is not being allowed any amount for new recruitment, which is accused of inefficiency, whereas on the other it is not being allowed any amount for new recruitment, which is the main hurdle to reach more efficient distribution. therefore, it is submitted that the Authority's stance in this regard is misconceived, and the decision to disallow such amount is liable to be reviewed.
- In addition, the yardstick for manpower as approved by WAPDA was also submitted to the Authority so that the shortage of manpower could be determined. however, the determination is silent on the subject matter, and by passing a non-speaking order in this respect, has disallowed the claim of the Petitioner.
- 3.3 The Authority after careful consideration of the Petitioner's contentions has observed that apparently the Petitioner has misunderstood the calculation of the Authority's assessment in this regard. The Authority wants to clarify that it has not accepted Petitioner's figures as such (excluding the provision for post retirement benefits). For purpose of calculating the base expense for increase pertaining to the FY 2013-14, the Authority has subtracted the impact of recruitments made during the FY 2009-10, along with the projected increase thereon. Here it pertinent to mention that with respect to post retirement benefits the Authority has allowed the GOP 's increase on actual payment in this regard. This is the difference between the Authority's assessment and the Petitioner's calculation. The way forward for the Petitioner is very clear, in order to claim the provision for post retirement benefits (instead of increase on actual payments) the Petitioner has to set up an independent fund and deposit the amount of provision in it. Further, in order to get its base expense as per its actual expenditure, the Petitioner has to provide a certificate from its external Auditor, that the recruitments made during the FY 2009-10, were replacement hiring with no additional or incremental costs. In addition, the Authority has principally allowed replacement hiring, considering the fact that the Petitioner's work force is retiring each year and in order to run its company's affairs smoothly, these recruitments are





essential for the Petitioner. However, when replacement hiring are done, these have to be claimed with the same certificate from its Auditors certifying that these are replacement hiring. As regard, the approval of yard stick for additional hiring, (a hiring which is done against Petitioner's self declared vacant posts) the Authority' stance is very clear on it that the Petitioner has to justify it on the basis of best utility practices as how life of an ordinary consumer would be improved in terms of better services and with its growing needs of the business etc. Even if the Petitioner intends to get its yard stick approved, it has to submit its case with a comprehensive recruitment plan along with proper cost benefit analysis.

- 3.4 Here it is pertinent to mention that the working of the O&M assessment was shared with the Petitioner. The Authority appreciates the Petitioner's efforts to bring in clarity and standardization of the auditor's certificate in accordance with the IAS. One the basis of Petitioner's input in this regard the Authority will issue audit framework.
- 3.5 On the issue of cost allocation with respect to WAPDA retired employees, the Authority has noted an in advert ant error. The Authority feels that all stakeholders are to be taken into confidence before fixing any liability either on DISCOs or WAPDA regarding the payments of WAPDA pensioners. In view thereof, the amount of pensioners of WAPDA employees is not allowed as part of its tariff till the competent Authority decides on the matter. Hence, the Petitioner's request of Rs. 200 million, as a part of PYA in this regard is not being considered in this instant review motion.

4 Prior Year Adjustment (PYA)

- 4.1 The Petitioner while disagreeing with the Authority's observation at paragraph 30.3 that the information in support of the PYA was not provided in time requested to review the prior year adjustment in the instant case as it forms a substantial portion of its unrecovered cost.
- 4.2 The Petitioner further submitted that the calculation of Prior Year Adjustment (PYA) duly supported by the detailed working was attached with the Petition. An amount of Rs. 17,601 million was requested as PYA. The summary and detailed working for PYA for Rs. 14,660 million was attached with the Petition whereas the balance amount of Rs. 2,941 million was related to revision of invoices by CPPA for the FY 2010-11. GOP notified the tariff of FY 2012-13 with effect from July 2012; therefore the working of PYA was required to be revised accordingly because the impact on this account was picked up by GoP and the Petitioner was compensated on this account.





Consequently the calculation for Prior Year Adjustment was revised and submitted to the Authority during the hearing on September 9, 2013. The same calculations have been reproduced in the para 30.1 of the determination FY 2013-14.

During the hearing for motion for leave for review, the Petitioner submitted the final working for Prior Year Adjustment and claimed Rs. 5,346 million for FY 2012-13.

PRIOR YEAR ADJUSTMENT FY 2012-13 & DETERMINATION FY 2013-14

Particulars	Amount (Rs. in Million)
Reference PPP during the FY 2012-13 as per Annex IV determination FY 2012-13	76,692
Less PPP Adjustment	3,872
Regulatory PPP on the basis of actual units purchased, monthly determinations and actual CPPA invoices	72,820
Add Determined DM as per determination FY 2012-13	6,176
Add Determined PYA as per determination FY 2012-13	7,184
Total - Revenue Requirement on the basis of Actual PPP	86,180
Less Recovery as per regulatory average tariff	82,871
Shortfall in Revenue	3,309
Add Consumer Mix for the FY 2012-13	2,520
Add Tax paid during FY 2012-13	10
Add Revision of CPPA final Invoice FY 2010-11	101
Less Revision of CPPA final invoice FY 2011-12	794
Add Long term retirement benefits of employees retired before 1-7-1998, paid during FY 2012-13	200
Net Prior Year Adjustment	5,346

4.4 The Authority in its decision pertaining to the FY 2013-14, at para 30.3 declined the Petitioner's request as no evidence/detailed working was enclosed along with the claim





for Prior Year Adjustment (PYA). On the objection of the Authority, the Petitioner provided complete details along with evidence vide letter No. 8171-72/GEPCO/Review Motion FY 2013-14 dated March 7, 2014. On the basis of information provided by the Petitioner the Authority has reassessed the PYA as indicated below:

Prior Year Adjustments

	Reference PPP during the FY 2012-13	76,692
	Assessed Distribution Margin for the FY 2012-13	6,176
	Assessed PYA for the FY 2012-13	7,184
Add	1st Qtr's PPP adjustment pertaining to the FY 2012-13	(1,644)
	2nd Qtr's PPP adjustment pertaining to the FY 2012-13	(821)
	3rd Qtr's PPP adjustment pertaining to the FY 2012-13	(201)
	4th Qtr's PPP adjustment pertaining to the FY 2012-13	(1,358)
Less	Regulated PPP recovery on notified rates FY 2012-13	70.402
-	Regulated DM recovery on notified rates FY 2012-13	5,779
	Regulated PYA recovery on notified rates FY 2012-13	6,722
	Net impact of Other Income	27
Add	Impact of consumer Mix variance for the FY 2012-13	2,207
	Tax paid during FY 2012-13	10
	Net Prior Year Adjustment	5,315

- While going through the audited accounts of the Petitioner, the Authority observed The Authority has noted that CPPA revised its invoices for previous years i.e. FY 2010-11 & FY 2011-12 with an impact of increase and decrease of cost of electricity of Rs. 101 million and Rs.794 million respectively. From the available record, the Authority cannot construe as on what account this credit/debit note has been given to the Petitioner. The Authority considers that the Petitioner needs to give reason and justification of the said adjustments in order to makes necessary adjustments in the revenue requirement for the next year.
- In view of above discussion, the Authority has assessed Rs. 5,315 million as Prior Year Adjustment for FY 2013-14 with an exception of deferred cost (debit & credit entries) for previous years i..e FY 2010-11 & FY 2011-12.





5. Order

- 5.1 The T&D losses level is being revised from 9.48% to 9.98%.
- 5.2 Rs. 5,315 million are being allowed on account of Prior Year Adjustment.
- 5.3 After incorporating the above changes in the original determination the Schedule of Tariff (SOT) has been revised and attached as Annex-III of this decision, which will supersedes the earlier SOT attached with the original determination as Annex III.
- In term of Section 31(4) of the NEPRA Act order of the Authority along with Annex-III attached to this decision is being intimated to the Federal Govt. for notification in the official gazette. For the purpose of clarification the revised order is also attached for notification.

AUTHORITY

(Major (R) Haroon Rashid)

Member

(Khawaja Muhammed Naeem)

Member

(Habibullah Khilji) Vice Chairman

Vice Chairman



1

Gujranwala Electric Power Company (GEPCO) Estimated Sales Revenue on the Basis of New Tariff

				NEPRA)	Revenue		NEPRA)
			Fixed	Variable	Fixed	Variable	
Description	Sales GWh	Sales Mix	Charge Rs./kW/ Month	Charge Rs./ kWh	Charge Rs.Million	Charge Rs.Million	Total
			Month	RS./ RWII	163,201111011	143.112.112.11	
Residential						060	062
Up to 50 Units	241	3.90%		4.00	-	963	963
For peak load requirement less than 5 kW				40.00		01.007	01.007
01-100 Units	1,601	25.94%		13.26	-	21,237	21,237
101-200 Units	1,200	19.44%		16.90	-	20,285	20,285
301-700Units	321	5.20%		17.90	-	5,745	5,745
Above 700 Units	64	1.03%		19.00		1,212	1,212
For peak load requirement 5 kW & above							
Time of Use (TOU) - Peak	3	0.05%		19.00		59	59
Time of Use (TOU) - Off-Peak	13	0.21%		13.50		173	173
Total Residentia	3,443	55.77%			-	49,674	49,674
Commercial - A2			'				
For peak load requirement less than 5 kW	221	3.582%		19.00	-	4,202	4,202
December 1 and accurate and 5 hW % above			İ				
For peak load requirement 5 kW & above	11	0.17%	400.00	16.00	33	172	205
Regular	34		i i	19.00] 33	647	6.47
Time of Use (TOU) - Peak	1	0.55%		13.50	505	1,747	2,251
Time of Use (TOU) - Off-Peak	129	2.10%	400.00	13,30	538	6,767	7,305
Total Commercia	395	6.40%		<u> </u>	330	6,767	7,300
Industrial POWER REGUL							
B1 80	105	1.71%		15.50	-	1,632	1,632
B1 Peak	42	0.68%	l	19.00		802	80:
	205	3.32%		13.50		2,769	2,769
O NEPRO IT	72	1.16%		15.00	119	1,078	1,197
B2 B2 - TOU (Peak) AUTHORITY	87	1.40%		19.00	-	1,645	1,645
	461	7.47%		13,30	1,000	6,130	7,130
B2 - TOU (Off-peak)	38	0.61%	1	19.00	1,000	718	718
B3 - TOU (Peak) B3 - TOU (Off-peak)		1			690	9,643	10.333
B3 - TOU (Off-peak)	731	11.83%		13.20	090	9,043	10.55
B4 - TOU (Peak)		0.000	- 260.00	19.00			-
B4 - TOU (Off-peak) Total Industrie	1 1,740	0.00% 28.19%		13.10	1,809	24,418	26,22
10tal industrie	1,740	20.137			2,000		
Single Point Supply for further distribution				10.00			
C1(a) Supply at 400 Volts - less than 5 kW	0			16.00	-	3	
C1(b) Supply at 400 Volts - 5 kW and upto 500 KW	5	1		15.50	4	1	73
Time of Use (TOU) - Peak	0	1		19.00	-	9]
Time of Use (TOU) - Off-Peak	2	1	1	13.50	2		3:
C2 Supply at 11, 33 kV upto & including 5000 KW	99	1.60%	380.00	15.30	79	1,515	1,59
Time of Use (TOU) - Peak	2	0.04%	,	19.00	-	42	40
Time of Use (TOU) - Off-Peak	7	0.12%	380.00	13.30	7	95	100
C3 Supply at 66 KV & above and sanctioned load above 5000 KV	v -	0.00%	360.00	15.20	-		
Time of Use (TOU) - Peak	-	0.00%	·	19.00	-	**	-
Time of Usc (TOU) - Off-Peak	-	0.00%	360.00	13.20	-	-	
Total Single Point Suppl	y 116	1.8759%	,		93	1,764	1,85
Agricultural Tube-wells - Tariff D)				
Scarp	4	l .		15.50		61	6
Agricultual Tube-wells	63	1.03%	200.00	15.00	58		1,00
Time of Day (TOD) - Peak	51	0.82%	-	19.00	-	962	96
Time of Day (TOD) - Off-Peak	209		· 	13.20	215	 	2,97
Total Agricultur	1 327	5.29%		<u> </u>	273		5,00
Public Lighting - Tariff G	6	0.10%		15.00	-	96	9
Tariff H - Residential Colonies attached to industric	: s	0.02%	,	15.00	_	16	1
G. I. I. G. Laborato . The LEG V. (A. VV.)	145			15.00	1	1	2,29
Special Contracts - Tariff K (AJK)	143	0.00%		19.00	1	1 2,170	
Time of Day (TOD) - Peak		0.00%	1	ł.	1		
Time of Day (TOD) - Off-Peak Tot	al 153			13.20	119	2,291	2,41
100		100.0059		 	2,832		92,47

A-1 GENERAL SUPPLY TARIFF - RESIDENTIAL

		FIXED			
Sr. No.	TARIFF CATEGORY / PARTICULARS		VARIABLE	CHARGES	
	,	CHARGES			
		Rs/kW/M	Rs/1	cWh	
a)	For Sanctioned load less than 5 kW				
i	Up to 50 Units	-		4.00	
	For Consumption exceeding 50 Units				
ii	1 - 100 Units	-	13.26		
iii	101 - 300 Units	_		16.90	
iv	301 - 700 Units	-		17.90	
v	Above 700 Units	-		19.00	
b)	For Sanctioned load 5 kW & above				
			Peak	Off-Peak	
	Time Of Use	-	19.00	13.50	

As per the Authority's decision residential consumers will be given the benefits of only one previous Under this tariff, there shall be minimum monthly charges at the following rates even if no energy is consumed.

a) Single Phase Connections:

Rs. 75/- per consumer per month

b) Three Phase Connections:

Rs. 150/- per consumer per month

A-2 GENERAL SUPPLY TARIFF - COMMERCIAL

Sr. No.	TARIFF CATEGORY / PARTICULARS	FIXED CHARGES	VARIABLE CHARGES	
		Rs/kW/M	Rs/l	kWh
a)	For Sanctioned load less than 5 kW		19.00	
(b)	For Sanctioned load 5 kW & above	400.00	16.00	
		1	Peak	Off-Peak
c)	Time Of Use	400.00	19.00	13.50

Under this tariff, there shall be minimum monthly charges at the following rates even if no energy is consumed.

a) Single Phase Connections;

Rs. 175/- per consumer per month

b) Three Phase Connections:

Rs. 350/- per consumer per month



B INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY TARIFFS

Sr. No.	TARIFF CATEGORY / PARTICULARS	FIXED CHARGES	VARIABLE CHARGES	
		Rs/kW/M	Rs/1	kWh
B1	Upto 25 kW (at 400/230 Volts)	-		15.50
B2(a)	exceeding 25-500 kW (at 400 Volts)	400.00		15.00
	Time Of Use		Peak	Off-Peak
В1 (b)	Up to 25 KW		19.00	13.50
B2(b)	exceeding 25-500 kW (at 400 Volts)	400.00	19.00	13.30
вз	For All Loads up to 5000 kW (at 11,33 kV)	380.00	19.00	13.20
В4	For All Loads (at 66,132 kV & above)	360.00	19.00	13.10

For B1 consumers there shall be a fixed minimum charge of Rs. 350 per month.

For B2 consumers there shall be a fixed minimum charge of Rs. 2,000 per month.

For B3 consumers there shall be a fixed minimum charge of Rs. 50,000 per month.

For B4 consumers there shall be a fixed minimum charge of Rs. 500,000 per month.

C - SINGLE-POINT SUPPLY FOR PURCHASE IN BULK BY A DISTRIBUTION LICENSEE AND MIXED LOAD CONSUMERS NOT FALLING IN ANY OTHER CONSUMER CLASS

Sr. No.	TARIFF CATEGORY / PARTICULARS	FIXED CHARGES	VARIABLE CHARGES		
		Rs/kW/M	Rs/1	cWh	
C -1	For supply at 400/230 Volts				
a)	Sanctioned load less than 5 kW	-		16.00	
b)	Sanctioned load 5 kW & up to 500 kW	400.00		15.50	
	For supply at 11,33 kV up to and including 5000 kW	380.00		15.30	
C -3(a)	For supply at 66 kV & above and sanctioned load above 5000 kW	360.00		15.20	
	Time Of Use		Peak	Off-Peak	
C -1(c)	For supply at 400/230 Volts 5 kW & up to 500 kW	400.00	19.00	13.50	
C -2(b)	For supply at 11,33 kV up to and including 5000 kW	380.00	19.00 13.30		
С -3(b)	For supply at 66 kV & above and sanctioned load above 5000 kW	360.00	19.00	13.20	





D - AGRICULTURE TARIFF

Sr. No.	TARIFF CATEGORY / PARTICULARS	FIXED CHARGES Rs/kW/M	VARIABLE CHARGES Rs/kWh		
D-1(a)	SCARP less than 5 kW	-	15.50		
D-2	Agricultural Tube Wells	200.00	15.00		
			Peak	Off-Peak	
D-1(b)	SCARP and Agricultural 5 kW & above	200.00	19.00	13.20	

Under this tariff, there shall be minimum monthly charges Rs.2,000/- per consumer per month, even if no energy is consumed.

Note:- The consumers having sanctioned load less than 5 kW can opt for TOU metering.

E - TEMPORARY SUPPLY TARIFFS

Sr. No.	TARIFF CATEGORY / PARTICULARS	FIXED CHARGES Rs/kW/M	VARIABLE CHARGES Rs/kWh
E-1(i)	Residential Supply	-	19.00
E-1(ii)	Commercial Supply	_	19.00
E-2	Industrial Supply	-	15.50

For the categories of E-1(i&ii) above, the minimum bill of the consumers shall be Rs. 50/- per day subject to a minimum of Rs.500/- for the entire period of supply, even if no energy is consumed.

125% of relevant industrial tariff

Note:

Tariff-F consumers will have the option to convert to Regular Tariff and vice versa. This option can be exercised at the time of a new connection or at the beginning of the season. Once exercised, the option remains in force for at least one year.

G- PUBLIC LIGHTING

Sr. No.	TARIFF CATEGORY / PARTICULARS	FIXED CHARGES	VARIABLE CHARGES
		Rs/kW/M	Rs/kWh
	Street Lighting		15.00

There shall be a minimum monthly charge of Rs.500/- per month per kW of lamp capacity installed.

NEPRA AUTHORITY

H - RESIDENTIAL COLONIES ATTACHED TO INDUSTRIAL PREMISES

Sr. No.	TARIFF CATEGORY / PARTICULARS	FIXED CHARGES	VARIABLE CHARGES
		Rs/kW/M	Rs/kWh
	Residential Colonies attached to industrial		
	premises	-	15.00

K - SPECIAL CONTRACTS

Sr. No.	TARIFF CATEGORY / PARTICULARS	FIXED CHARGES	VARIABLE CHARGES		
		Rs/kW/M	Rs/1	kWh	
	Azad Jammu & Kashmir (AJK)	360.00		15.00	
			Peak	Off-Peak	
L	Time of Use	360.00	19.00	13.20	

