

National Electric Power Regulatory Authority

ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF PAKISTAN

Provincial Office

1st Floor, Link Arcade, 54B, GECH Society, Phase 3, Link Road, Model Town, Lahore. Phone: 042-99333931

POL.05/\(\sqrt{0}\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{0}}\)
June 30, 2025

Chief Executive Officer, Lahore Electric Supply Company (LESCO), 22-A, Queens Road, <u>Lahore</u>.

Subject:

DECISION IN THE MATTER OF COMPLAINT FILED BY MR. MAQSOOD AHMAD UNDER SECTION 39 OF THE REGULATION OF GENERATION, TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION OF ELECTRIC POWER ACT, 1997 AGAINST LESCO REGARDING DETECTION BILL (REF# 12 11563 9001452 U)

Case No. LESCO-LHR-53553-05-25

Please find enclosed herewith the decision of NEPRA Complaints Resolution Committee (CRC), dated June 30, 2025 regarding the subject matter for necessary action, please.

Encl: As above

Copy to:

- 1. Chief Engineer/Customer Services Director, LESCO, 22-A, Queen's Road <u>Lahore</u>.
- 2. Manager/Incharge Central Complaint Cell LESCO, (Focal Person, NEPRA) LESCO, 22-A, Queens Road, <u>Lahore</u>.
- 3. S.E 5th Circle LESCO, 425-EE, DHA, Ghazi Road, <u>Lahore</u>.
- 4. XEN Defense East Division, LESCO 425-EE, DHA Ghazi Road, <u>Lahore</u>.
- 5. Mr. Maqsood Ahmad S/O Ghulam Muhammad R/O 1452-A, 9 Town, DHA, Lahore Cell#0333-4422165

(Aisha Kalsoom)
Assistant Director (CAD)





BEFORE THE

NATIONAL ELECTRIC POWER REGULATORY AUTHORITY (NEPRA)

Complaint No. LESCO-LHR-53553-05-25

Mr. Maqsood Ahmed 1452-A, 9 Town, DHA, Lahore. Complainant

VERSUS

Lahore Electric Supply Company (LESCO)

..... Respondent

22-A, Queens Road, Lahore.

Date of Hearing:

June 05, 2025

Complainant:

Mr. Maqsood Ahmed

Respondent:

Mr. Sajid, Revenue Officer, LESCO

SUBJECT:

DECISION IN THE MATTER OF <u>COMPLAINT FILED BY MR. MAQSOOD</u> AHMED UNDER SECTION 39 OF THE REGULATION OF GENERATION, <u>TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION OF ELECTRIC POWER ACT, 1997 AGAINST LESCO REGARDING DETECTION BILL (REF # 12-11563-</u>

9001452)

Ada Adminish Abmod J FOCOLI HR-53553

DECISION

This decision shall dispose of the complaint filed by Mr. Maqsood Ahmed (hereinafter referred to as the "Complainant") against Lahore Electric Supply Company Limited (hereinafter referred to as the "LESCO") under Section 39 of the Regulation of Generation, Transmission and Distribution of Electric Power Act, 1997 (hereinafter referred to as the "NEPRA Act").

- 2. NEPRA received a complaint from Mr. Maqsood Ahmed wherein the Complainant submitted that a detection bill was charged by LESCO during the month of April, 2025 as outstanding arrears having lapse of several months against its replaced meter. The matter was taken up with LESCO and hearing was held at NEPRA Provincial Office, Lahore during which LESCO officials submitted that the Complainant's meter became defective and was, later, replaced while the units retrieved through M&T, LESCO were, then charged against the Complainant's account. Upon which, the Complainant raised its observations and challenged the delay in charging of belated adjustment.
- 3. The case has been examined at length in light of the record made so available by parties, arguments advanced during the hearings and the applicable law. Following has been observed:
 - i. The Complainant's residential connection installed against a reference number 12-11563-9001452 located at 9 Town, DHA, Lahore was charged detection bill of (9233) units by LESCO during April, 2025 on account of the meter defectiveness. The issue raised by the Complainant was that he mala fide exorbitant detection bill has been charged by LESCO accruing a considerable delay.

ii. Perusal of documentary evidence restain the Complainant was charged detection bill of (9233) units during April, 2005 on account of actual consumption of defective meter replaced during September 2007. According to the clause 4.3.2 (d) of Consumer

Page 1 of 2



Service Manual (CSM), consumer's account shall not be liable to any adjustment if the data is not retrieved within three months of display wash. However, as per the available record, the Complainant's meter was declared defective during December, 2022. Subsequently, a detection bill based on the retrieved units was, later, charged during April, 2025 accruing considerable delay of approximately (29) months while standing in direct violation of CSM.

- iii. Hence, charging of the detection bill on the basis of reading difference is not merited as per above mentioned clause of CSM which obligates LESCO to finalize adjustment as per retrieval report within (3) months of malfunction, however, actually levied by LESCO after delay of (29) months in instant matter which requires withdrawal of the detection bill.
- 4. Foregoing in view, LESCO is directed to waive off aforementioned detection bill of 9233 units charged to the Complainant during April, 2025 and revised bill be issued to the Complainant within thirty (30) days. Further proceedings in the matter are being closed by this office.

(Ubaid Khan)

Member, Complaints Resolution Committee/Assistant Director (CAD)

Lahore, June 30, 2025

Aisha Kalsoom)

Member, Complaints Resolution Committee /Assistant <u>Director</u> (CAD)