

# Before the Appellate Board National Electric Power Regulatory Authority (NEPRA) Islamic Republic of Pakistan

NEPRA Office, Ataturk Avenue (East), G5/1, Islamabad
Tel. No.+92 051 2013200 Fax No. +92 051 2600030
Website: <u>www.nepra.org.pk</u> E-mail: <u>office@nepra.org.pk</u>

No. NEPRA/Appeal/125/2021/4/4

- Qurban Ali, S/o. Abdul Aziz, R/o. Karbath, Tehsil Lahore Cantt, Lahore
- Ch. Abdul Waheed, Advocate High Court, 121-Alflah Town, Bedian Road, Lahore Cantt Cell No. 0321-4166309
- POI/Electric Inspector Lahore Region, Energy Department, Govt. of Punjab, Block No. 1, Irrigation Complex, Canal Bank, Dharampura, Lahore

- May 13, 2024
- Chief Executive Officer, LESCO Ltd,
   22-A, Queens Road, Lahore
- Assistant Manager (Operation), LESCO Ltd, Bedian Roan Sub Division, Lahore

Subject: Appeal No.125/2021 (LESCO Vs. Qurban Ali) Against the Decision Dated 29.06.2021 of the Provincial Office of Inspection to Government of the Punjab Lahore Region, Lahore

Please find enclosed herewith the decision of the Appellate Board dated 13.05.2024 (03 pages), regarding the subject matter, for information and necessary action accordingly.

Encl: As Above

(Ikram Shakeel) Deputy Director Appellate Board

Forwarded for information please.

1. Director (IT) - for uploading the decision on NEPRA website



# National Electric Power Regulatory Authority

### **Before The Appellate Board**

In the matter of

#### Appeal No.125/POI-2021

Lahore Electric Supply Company Limited

.....Appellant

Versus

Qurban Ali S/o. Abdul Aziz, R/o. Karbath, Tehsil Lahore Cantt, Lahore

.....Respondent

#### APPEAL UNDER SECTION 38(3) OF THE REGULATION OF GENERATION, TRANSMISSION, AND DISTRIBUTION OF ELECTRIC POWER ACT, 1997

<u>For the Appellant:</u> Ch. Abdul Waheed Advocate Mr. Muhammad Usman Ashraf

For the Respondent: Nemo

### DECISION

- 1. Brief facts of the case are that Qurban Ali (hereinafter referred to as the "Respondent") is a domestic consumer of Lahore Electric Supply Company Limited (hereinafter referred to as the "Appellant") bearing Ref No.06-11563-0468308-R having sanctioned load of 02 kW and the applicable tariff category is A-1(a). The Respondent filed a complaint before the Provincial Office of Inspection, Lahore Region, Lahore (hereinafter referred to as the "POI") and challenged the bill of Rs.71,291/- against 3,181 units debited by the Appellant in November 2020. The matter was decided by POI vide decision dated 2206.2021, wherein the bill of Rs.71,291/- against 3,181 units was declared null and void and the Appellant was allowed to charge the revised bills w.e.f November 2020 and onwards as per consumption of corresponding month of the preceding year.
- 2. Being dissatisfied, the Appellant has filed the instant appeal before NEPRA and assailed the decision dated 29.06.2021 of the POI (hereinafter referred to as the "impugned decision"). In its appeal, the Appellant opposed the maintainability of the impugned decision, *inter-alia*, on the following grounds that the arrears of Rs.98,723/- were charged to the Respondent in November 2020 as per actual consumption recorded by the meter, which contained the bills of September 2020 and October 2020; that the Respondent has availed a compensation of Rs.35,282/- in the month of December 2020 by applying process of segregation; that the bill for November 2020 has lawfully been issued; that the impugned decision is against the facts

Appeal No.125/POI-2021

HER REG APPELLATE SOARD

Page 1of 3

M. Ga



# National Electric Power Regulatory Authority

and law; that the POI has overlooked the actual consumption of the Respondent and passed the impugned decision on conjectures and surmises; and that the same is liable to be set aside.

3. Notice dated 17.11.2021 of the appeal was issued to the Respondent for filing reply/para-wise comment, which however were not filed.

### 4. Hearing

Hearing of the appeal was conducted at NEPRA Regional Office Lahore on 20.01.2024, wherein learned counsel appeared for the Appellant and no one tendered appearance for the Respondent. Learned counsel for the Appellant contended that the arrears of Rs.98,723/- pertaining to the bills for the period from September 2020 to November 2020 against which the Respondent was afforded a rebate of Rs.35,282/- in the month of December 2020 after the due segregation. Learned counsel for the Appellant defended the charging of the bill of 3,181 units debited in November 2020 and prayed to allow the same being justified. In support of his contention, learned counsel for the Appellant submitted the billing statement of the Respondent.

- 5. Having heard the arguments and record perused. Following are our observations:
- 5.1 The Respondent disputed before the POI the bill of Rs.71,291/- against 3,181 units debited by the Appellant in November 2020, which was cancelled by the Appellant vide impugned decision against which the Appellant filed the instant appeal before the NEPRA. In its Appeal, the Appellant submitted that the above bill was charged to the Respondent in November 2020 as per meter reading and the Respondent was afforded credit of Rs.35,282/- in December 2020 after due segregation of units.
- 5.2 The Appellant did not produce the impugned meter before the POI for checking and verification of readings. On the other hand, the Respondent even neither appeared before the NEPRA nor submitted reply to the appeal despite repeated notices to oppose the charging of the impugned bill. Under these circumstances, the billing history of the Respondent as provided by the Appellant is placed below:

Old Meter		Disputed meter	
Month	Units	Month	Units
Feb-19	14	Feb-20	4
Mar-19	21	Mar-20	30
Apr-19	11	Apr-20	38
May-19	19	May-20	225
Jun-19	26	Jun-20	94
Jul-19	58	Jul-20	0
Aug-19	65	Aug-20	44
Sep-19	57	Sep-20	355

Appeal No.125/POI-2021

11. D





## National Electric Power Regulatory Authority

Oct-19	28	Oct-20	100
Nov-19	28	Nov-20	3181
Total	327	Total	4071

Examination of the consumption data reveals that total 4,071 units were charged from February 2020 to November 2020 by the Appellant on the impugned meter, whereas total of 327 units were recorded by the old meter of the Respondent during the corresponding period before the dispute. There is no force in the plea of the Appellant that the bill for November 2020 was charged as per the meter reading. The Appellant even did not submit the bill of November 2020 showing the snapshot of meter reading advanced to the tune of 4,071. The Appellant debited the bill of November 2020 against 3,181 units to the Respondent, which has never been recorded in the billing history of the Respondent. Hence, we are inclined to agree with the determination of the POI for cancellation of the bill of Rs.71,291/- against 3,181 units debited in November 2020.

- 5.3 Similarly, the determination of POI for revision of the bills from November 2020 and onwards as per consumption of corresponding months of the year 2019 is not correct as the old meter was defective in November 2019. Therefore impugned decision to this extent is liable to be withdrawn.
- 5.4 The Respondent is liable to be charged the revised bill of November 2020 against 292 units assessed based on 20% load factor of the sanctioned load i.e. 2 kW. The impugned decision is liable to be modified to this extent.
- 6. In view of what has been stated above, it is concluded that:
- 6.1 The bill of Rs.71,291/- against 3,181 units debited to the Respondent in November 2020 and the credit of Rs.35,282/- afforded in December 2020 are unjustified and the same are cancelled.
- 6.2 The Respondent may be charged the bill against 292 units for November 2020 as per 20% load factor of the sanctioned load i.e. 2 kW.
- 6.3 The billing account of the Respondent be overhauled, accordingly.
- 7. The impugned decision is modified in the above terms.

Abid Hussain

Member/Advisor (CAD)

Dated: 13-05-2024

Appeal No.125/POI-2021

Muhammad Irfan-ul-Haq Member/ALA (Lic.) Naweed Illahi Sheikh Convener/DG (CAD) RRA APPELLATE BOARD

Page 3of 3

MAN