Issues for Hearing - KE's MYT Petition for Distribution Business FY 2023-24 to FY 2029-30

- 1. Whether the request to allow Tariff control period of seven years is justified?
- 2. Whether the projected sent out growth at a CAGR of 2.6% based on FY 2023 sent outs, alongwith request to actualize sent outs and allow consequential under / (over) recovery of costs is justified?
- 3. Whether the request to allow adjustment of Distribution loss target due to change in voltage wise sales mix is justified and what will be mechanism to for such adjustment?
- 4. Whether the requested Debt to equity structure is justified?
- 5. Whether the request Cost of debt and spread along-with request to actualize same based on actual mix of foreign and local loan is justified and what will be mechanism for such adjustments?
- 6. Whether the request to allow actual premium, tax payments on premium & interest/ markup, financing fee/ transaction cost inclusive of taxes on loans and hedging cost on foreign loans (KIBOR SOFR + CAS + Hedging Spread) is justified?
- 7. Whether the requested US dollar-based Return on Equity of 16.67% and its indexation mechanism is justified?
- 8. Whether the requested O&M cost for the FY 2023-24 along-with proposed adjustment mechanism is justified?
- 9. Whether the request of KE to not apply any efficiency factor (X factor) while allowing indexation for the O&M cost during the MYT control period is justified?
- 10. Whether the request to allow O&M of CAPEX nature transferred from investment plan as part of O&M cost is justified?
- 11. Whether the requested Other Income, Depreciation, Working Capital, RAB and RORB is justified and what will be the adjustment mechanism during the MYT control period?
- 12. Whether the request to share additional income in the ratio of 50:50 in case RAB is used for any activity other than regulated business is justified?
- 13. Whether the request to allow Corporate Tax/ WPPF and WWF as pass through costs is justified?
- 14. Whether the request for adjustment of wheeling charges as part of supply business tariff is justified?
- 15. Whether amortization of Deferred Revenue at an assumed rate of 6.32% per annum, to be actualized annually is justified?

- 16. Whether the service charges for collection of PTV fee needs to be revised as requested by PTV Corporation, in light of notification dated 16.05.2016 issued by the GoP?
- 17. Whether the requested adjustment mechanism for the investment plan is justified?
- 18. Whether the request to allow one-time adjustment for additional costs pursuant to unbundling, is justified?
- 19. Whether there is any cost/ benefit analysis of the requested tariff on domestic consumers, industrialization and economic growth?
- 20. Whether the request to allow unrecovered cost of MYT 2017-23, as pass through, to be included in the tariff is justified?
- 21. Whether there will be any claw back mechanism or not?
- 22. Any other issue that may come up during or after the hearing.