<u>ISSUES FOR HEARING</u> - Petition filed by Hyderabad Electric Supply Company Limited (HESCO) for <u>Determination of Tariff for Supply of Power for the FY 2018-19.</u>

The following issues have been framed to be considered during the hearing and for presenting written as well as oral evidence and arguments;

- i. Whether the Petitioner has complied with the direction of the Authority given in earlier determination?
- ii. As per NEPRA Amendment Act, 2018, obligations of procurement of assets including meters (for satisfying its services) and disconnection / reconnection services (on demand of Supplier) are with Distribution Licensee whereas procedure for metering, billing, collection of approved charges and recovery of arrears are the obligations of Supply Licensee. In this scenario, HESCO is required to state the mode and manner being developed and followed for appropriate coordination between Distribution Licensee and Supply Licensee?
- iii. Whether the projected sales (GWh) and projected power purchase cost is reasonable?
- iv. Whether the requested O&M cost, Depreciation, Provision for Bad Debts, Advance Tax and Return of assets are justified?
- v. Whether the Petitioner has actually written off, provisionally allowed Write offs allowed in the Re determination decision pertaining to the FY 2015-16?
- vi. Whether the basis used by the Petitioner for bifurcation of its costs into supply and distribution segments are justified?
- vii. Whether the existing Tariff Terms and Conditions needs to be modified to incorporate concerns raised by various consumers?
- viii. Whether there should any Fixed Charges on residential consumers & General Services Consumers?
 - ix. As provided in NEPRA Amendment Act, 2018, HESCO as Distribution Licensee shall be deemed to hold Supply License also for a period of 5-years. In this regard, HESCO is required to explain its organizational restructuring in respect of segregation of responsibilities for Distribution Business and Sale Business?
 - x. Whether the existing minimum/fixed monthly charges even if no energy is consumed needs to be revised to assist in the recovery of fixed cost of the Petitioner?
 - xi. Whether the Petitioner be treated as Supplier of the last resort and whether the tariff of the Petitioner or the National uniform tariff be treated as last resort tariff?
- xii. Whether the concerns raised by the intervener/ commentator if any are justified?
- xiii. Any other issue that may come up during or after the hearing?