

ISSUES FOR HEARING - PETITION FILED BY BAHRIA TOWN (PVT) LIMITED (BTPL) FOR DETERMINATION OF CONSUMER-END TARIFF FOR SUPPLY OF POWER FOR THE FY 2018-19 & FY 2019-20.

The following issues have been framed to be considered during the hearing and for presenting written as well as oral evidence and arguments;

- i. As per NEPRA Amendment Act, 2018, obligations of procurement of assets including meters (for satisfying its services) and disconnection / reconnection services (on demand of Supplier) are with Distribution Licensee whereas procedure for metering, billing, collection of approved charges and recovery of arrears are the obligations of Supply Licensee. In this scenario, BTPL is required to state the mode and manner being developed and followed for appropriate coordination between Distribution Licensee and Supply Licensee?
- ii. As provided in NEPRA Amendment Act, 2018, BTPL as Distribution Licensee shall be deemed to hold Supply License also for a period of 5-years. In this regard, BTPL is required to explain its organizational restructuring in respect of segregation of responsibilities for Distribution Business and Sale Business?
- iii. Whether the Audited Financial Statements provide break-up of electricity cost in terms of Distribution and Supply functions of electricity business exclusively? If no, What are the basis used by the Petitioner for bifurcation of its costs into supply and distribution segments?
- iv. Whether the projected demand (GWh) and projected power purchase cost is reasonable?
- v. Whether the Petitioner has explored the option to purchase electricity directly from CPPA-G or any other generator through wheeling?
- vi. Whether BTPL being deemed supplier can purchase electricity from IESCO who is also a deemed supplier?
- vii. Whether the projected Supply Cost (excluding RoRB) is justified?
- viii. Whether the projected Return on Regulatory Asset base (RORB) is justified?
- ix. How the uniformity with regard to national consumer-end tariff would be maintained for the consumers of BTPL?
- x. What shall be the adjustment mechanism for adjustment of tariff including power purchase price in future?
- xi. Whether there should any Fixed Charges on residential consumers?
- xii. Whether the existing minimum fixed charges even when no energy is consumed needs to be revised to assist in the recovery of fixed cost of the Petitioner?
- xiii. What shall be the terms and conditions for the supply of electricity to the consumers? Whether the same terms and conditions as applicable to the consumers of IESCO be applicable to the consumers of Bahria Town or otherwise?

- xiv. What options would be available with the consumers', if not satisfied with the services of the Petitioner?
- xv. Whether the Petitioner be treated as Supplier of the last resort and whether the tariff of the Petitioner or the National uniform tariff be treated as last resort tariff?
- xvi. Whether the cost of service study has been carried out by the BTPL?
- xvii. What shall be the new connection/ re-connection charges and the time required for new connections?
- xviii. Whether the Petitioner has complied with the performance, safety and environmental standards?
- xix. Whether the consumer Service Manual of the Petitioner is approved by the Authority as required in the license?
- xx. Whether the Petitioner charges any service cost or any additional cost to the consumers in its service territory?
- xxi. Whether the petitioner charges any security deposits to its consumers at the time of connection?
- xxii. Whether the concerns raised by the intervener/ commentator if any are justified?
- xxiii. Any other issue that may come up during or after the hearing?