

Working Paper

Subject: FRAMING OF ISSUE IN THE MATTER OF PETITION FILED BY QUETTA ELECTRIC SUPPLY COMPANY LIMITED (QESCO) FOR THE DETERMINATION OF ITS CONSUMER-END TARIFF PERTAINING TO THE FY 2015-16.

1. Framing of issues

1.1 For the purpose of hearing the following issues have been framed to be considered during the hearing and for presenting written as well as oral evidence and arguments: -

- i. Whether the petitioner has complied with the directions of the Authority given in the tariff determination for the FY 2014-15.
- ii. Whether the petitioner's projected energy purchases and sales for the FY 2015-16 is reasonable?
- iii. Whether the projected power purchase cost for the FY 2015-16 is justified?
- iv. Whether the T & D losses for the FY 2015-16 requested by the petitioner is reasonable?
- v. Whether the projected O&M cost for the FY 2015-16 is justified?
- vi. Whether the proposed depreciation charge for the FY 2015-16 is justified?
- vii. Whether the requested rate of return (ROR) is justified?
- viii. Whether the projected Return on Regulatory Asset base (RORB) for the FY 2015-16 is justified?
- ix. Whether the projected other income for the FY 2015-16 is reasonable?
- x. Whether the petitioner's proposed Investment Plan for the FY 2015-16, is justified? Keeping in view the prospective benefits.
- xi. Whether the proposed revenue requirements and average sale rate the FY 2015-16, is justified?
- xii. Whether the prior year adjustment calculated by the Petitioner related to FY 2014-15 is accurate?
- xiii. What is the financial impact / loss of revenue due to TOU metering for cellular companies connections and other similar connections?
- xiv. Whether the concerns raised by the intervener/ commentator are justified?

- xv. Whether the Petitioner request for incorporation of interest charge on PHPL loans is justified?
- xvi. Whether the existing service delivering structure of circles, divisions and sub-divisions etc can provide satisfactory services for supply of electric power to the consumers with the substantial expansion in the system?
- xvii. Whether the existing financial, administrative and technical powers concentrated at different layers of hierarchy is required to be amended in order to provide better services on the door step of the consumer?
- xviii. What is the impact of non-submission of IGTDP by the petitioner along with the tariff petition for the FY 2015-16 as required under Consumer-end Tariff (Methodology and Process) Guidelines, 2015?
- xix. What will be the mechanism of charging Wheeling/Use of System Charges (UOSC) in case of network of XW-DISCOs are used for Wheeling?
- xx. Whether the tariff petition substantially complies with NEPRA Determination of Consumer-end Tariff (Methodology and Process) Guidelines, 2015?
- xxi. What are the concerns of the Petitioner on the application of domestic tariff for Government office, educational institutions and mosques?
- xxii. Any other relief that may come up during the hearing?
- xxiii. Whether the relief sought by the petitioner, are justified?